Federal Communications Commission

Memo

RECEIVED

AUG - 1 2000

PEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

To: OPA

From: Emily Hoffnar, CCB, IAD

CC:

Date: 08/01/00

Re: Incorporation of data into Docket #98-146

The attached transcript of field hearings needs to be incorporated into Docket #98-146. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (202) 418-7396. Thank you.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

RECEIVED

AUG - 1 2000

Bringing High-Speed Internet to Rural America

PEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

TRANSCRIPT OF FIELD HEARING

Taken At Bismarck State College Student Union 1500 Edwards Avenue Bismarck, North Dakota November 22, 1999



(701) 255-3513



1

#

(The following proceedings were had and made of record herein, commencing at 12:40 p.m., 2 Monday, the 22nd day of November, 1999:)

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN KENNARD: Good afternoon, and welcome to the first but what I'm sure will not be the last FCC field hearing in North Dakota. We are very, very pleased to be here. We have three of the five FCC commissioners with you here today. That constitutes a quorum under our FCC law. So if things get really interesting today, we might just adopt some rules and solve some problems today.

My name is Bill Kennard. I'm the chairman of the FCC. This is my second visit to North Dakota. I was here in January of 1998 at the invitation of Senator Dorgan and learned a lot about what's happening here in North Dakota, and we're back here again to gather a lot more information and hopefully hear from a lot of people today about how we in Washington can be of better service to you here.

Here's the game plan for today. We have a lot of material to cover, and we want to hear from a lot of people. We have a limited period of time. Unfortunately, Northwest Airlines is going to leave at three o'clock today on the flight back

to Washington, and we need to be on it.

their remarks brief. All the panelists will have opening remarks, three to five minutes, and then what I hope to do, since we have a great diversity of people here today, what I'd like to do is maybe get a little bit of crosscurrent of debate going and liven up the discussion a little bit. We have some people who compete against one another in the marketplace who have very, very different views of what the FCC should or shouldn't be doing.

I'm going to have some opening remarks, but before that I would like to introduce my two colleagues for their opening remarks, and then Senator Dorgan will have an opening statement, as well, and we're also joined by two of our colleagues from the state level, Susan Wefald and Bruce Hagen from the North Dakota Public Service Commission.

To my left is Susan Ness, my colleague on the FCC. Commissioner Ness is one of the FCC commissioners who participated, with my other colleague here today, Harold Furchtgott-Roth, on the Federal/State Joint Board on universal service. So they were the people who pooled

1 together some very, very important recommendations
2 dealing with universal service funding for rural

3 America. Susan, would you like to begin?

FCC COMMISSIONER NESS: I just want to, first of all, thank Senator Dorgan for his gracious invitation to come out to North Dakota, and I'm looking forward to hearing the testimony from the panelists on how we can best ensure that North Dakotans receive the best possible telecommunications services available, and that is the goal and the mission of the Commission, to ensure that the American people are well served by their telecommunications companies, and that's about all I have to say, other than I'm delighted to be out here.

I recognize and have worked very hard on rural issues. Why? Because I see the opportunity for broadband to provide a rejuvenation of communities, of rural communities, because you can have new businesses crop up with the ability to serve all of the world from rural locations, but in order to be able to do that, you have to have conductivity and you've got to have the reliability of service and you've got to have sufficient band width in order to be able to do that.

That's our mission, that's our goal, that's our desire, and we look forward to working with you, the people of North Dakota, to make that happen. Thank you all very much.

FCC CHAIRMAN KENNARD: Thank you,
Commissioner Ness. Commissioner Furchtgott-Roth.

FCC COMMISSIONER FURCHTGOTT-ROTH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I, too, would like to thank Senator Dorgan for inspiring this Commission to hold this field hearing today and to you, Chairman Kennard, for the fact of seeing to it that we meet here in Bismarck.

Approximately two years ago there was an article in the New York Times magazine, Sunday magazine section. I don't recall the exact date, I don't recall the exact title, but it kind of stuck in my craw. The article was "Do We Need North Dakota?" It's a sort of article you'll find in an East Coast newspaper. I suspect you won't find it here in a newspaper in Bismarck or really anywhere in North Dakota. You probably don't ask questions like "Do we need New York State?"

It was a not entirely tongue-in-cheek article. It described a lot of the problems of the state, a lot of the problems that we've come today

to learn about, but it was still what I would call
an instrumental view of North Dakota; that is to
say North Dakota exists only if it has some purpose
that can be recognized by the people of New York.

That's not why North Dakota exists. It exists because their people live here. This is your home, this is your state, and we're going to do everything we can to be sure that things work out well here.

And the topic today is on broadband access. It's a great challenge, both here in North Dakota and all across America. It's something that we hear more and more about at the FCC.

We face a lot of challenges. We don't always do well with them, I must say. Senator Dorgan led the fight to get universal service put into the '96 Act, and it's a very important part of that Act, and we'll do everything we can to be sure that it's implemented correctly. It's run a lot in the last couple years, but not a lot of the money has come to North Dakota.

We look forward to hearing more about the problems in North Dakota today, and I'm sure we're all going to learn a lot. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KENNARD: Thank you, Commissioner

1 | Furchtgott-Roth. Commissioner Hagen, would you
2 | like to --

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PSC COMMISSIONER HAGEN: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. Let me say, first, our Commission is very, very pleased to have the Federal Communications Commission here in North Dakota today. We want to thank them and Senator Dorgan for setting up this hearing.

We have a terrific telephone industry in North Dakota. Just a little while ago Susan Ness and I were over at the hospital, and she was viewing what they're doing with rural health. impressive, and on the way over she said to me, How come North Dakota has such a high penetration for telephone, and here we are, a rural state, we're right up on top of the United States, and the real answer to that is that it's a tribute to the people of the state who have wanted to have telephone service; it's a tribute to the telephone companies that have worked awfully hard, their staff, their managers, their presidents. All of the companies, investor-owned and cooperative, have worked long and hard in North Dakota, and we're pretty proud of our record.

We know that there's a lot left to do, but

in the new age that we're getting into time and distance don't mean so much. So that means our people here have a chance, and along with the changing times we'd like a shot at getting at the table and doing what we can, and I'm sure you're going to hear some very good testimony today from the North Dakota people.

So once again, thank you very much for coming. We're looking forward to hearing what the people have to say.

2.0

FCC CHAIRMAN KENNARD: Thank you, Bruce.
Commissioner Wefald.

PSC COMMISSIONER WEFALD: Yes. We're so honored to join this field hearing today to examine issues related to the deployment of broadband services to rural America. This is a very important topic for North Dakotans and for the people throughout rural America.

Our Commission, the North Dakota PSC, has had several initiatives which have promoted advanced telecommunications in rural areas.

First, in 1997 we were very interested in providing information to our schools, libraries and rural healthcare providers about moneys that were available to advanced learning through use of

telecommunications services.

Our Commission conducted workshops at school technology conferences, state school board conferences, and other meetings across the state and also held two statewide interactive video information meetings so that people all over the state could learn about the new funding opportunities. We made a real effort to work together with our Department of Public Instruction and other community groups on these matters.

I am happy to report that due to all of these efforts in year one North Dakota schools and libraries received a total of \$2,400,000. This money went to 148 public and private school districts, 11 libraries and 7 consortiums -- 7 groups which represent interactive TV networks or school consortiums.

So far -- we're in year two now. North

Dakota schools and libraries in year two have

received \$1,961,000. This money went to 134 public

and private school districts, 7 libraries and 4

groups which represent interactive TV networks

and/or school consortiums.

For example, to bring this to a more personal level, Regent, population 264, Senator

Dorgan's hometown, has applied for and received

over \$16,000 for internal connections and

telecommunications services for its schools during

the two-year grant period.

Another small town in our region,
Strasburg, population 553, known as the hometown of
Lawrence Welk, has received over \$35,000 for
internal connections and Internet access for its
schools during the two-year grant period.

Rural healthcare providers in North Dakota received \$126,000 in year one. I am happy that the FCC has made some changes to this plan recently which may result in more money for healthcare -- rural healthcare providers in our state.

Our Commission has also been keeping a close watch on Lifeline and Link-up funding. We held a special statewide interactive video meeting to alert rural people that they would be eligible for assistance. This year we met with members of the Devils Lake Sioux Tribe to ensure the tribal members were able to receive Lifeline and Link-up and work with that tribe on adjustments to eligibility procedures. We shared what we learned on this matter with other tribes in North Dakota.

And this fall our Commission met with the

director and staff from the North Dakota Department
of Economic Development to share ideas about
promoting advanced technology in our state.

Our Commission realizes that it's necessary to work with many others in order to bring needed advanced telecommunications to all North Dakotans.

One person who has been tireless in her work on this issue is Lieutenant Governor Rosemary Myrdahl. She is not a person who seeks the spotlight, and yet she has been a real worker on the center for excellence in rural America project, which is called CERA. I think we may hear more about that this afternoon. And she has also worked on other advanced telecommunications initiatives in Governor Schafer's office.

Thank you so much for letting us be a part of this today.

CHAIRMAN KENNARD: Thank you,

20 | Commissioner. Senator Dorgan.

SENATOR DORGAN: Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission, thank you very much for coming to North Dakota today.

I was sitting here thinking about telecommunications and information technology, and

1 I was wondering how many in the room have the experience of growing up on a farm with a telephone on a party line with eight other farmsteads, and the phone rings and it rings at every farm, but there's a different ring for each farm. So when the phone rings, one answers it and the other eight pick up to listen. How many of you have had that experience, not to listen but just -- well, you were the primary receiver. I understand that, of course.

The reason I was thinking about that is I recall that vividly, and it is not too long ago, obviously, that we had that exist on our farmsteads across North Dakota, and now, of course, the entire system has changed dramatically and information is at our fingertips. We can access the biggest library in the world, go to a museum in Italy on the Internet. Things have changed dramatically.

And the issue today is as we proceed down this road with breathtaking changes in information technology, what part of this country sees the buildout of advanced telecommunications services or broadband capacity in a manner that gives them the opportunity to progress?

Now, if we don't decide in this country to

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

do this like we did with electricity, to electrify all the farmsteads in America, even though it might not have been cost-effective to do it at the moment it was done, if we don't make this universal in nature, we will have what is called a digital divide, and rural areas will be on the wrong side of the digital divide and they will forever be consigned to less opportunity, less development and fewer jobs, and we cannot let that happen.

There are things that we all deal with that are irrelevant, there are things that we deal with that are important, and then there are things that are urgent. In public policy this one is urgent. The development of the capacity for broadband opportunities all across this country is urgent.

Now six months ago, on May 20th, Tom

Daschle, myself and others wrote a letter to the

Commission, and that letter then began a dialogue,

and that dialogue was about broadband development

for rural areas. From that came two forums. The

first forum was the CEO summit on the digital

divide in Washington, D.C., which I participated in

and the Commission did; and the second forum was

just about a month ago going the extra mile closing

the digital divide in rural America, and I attended that as well. Very interesting.

And then from that now comes a series of hearings across the country, and this is the first hearing outside of Washington on this issue, and I'm just very pleased that you held that hearing in North Dakota, and you have an excellent series of panels.

I want to tell you that the plane doesn't leave at 3:00. The plane leaves at, I think, 3:45, and it is always on time, but I think -- therefore, I think this hearing is probably going to have to be completed at three o'clock. So there will be a couple of additional hours for this hearing, but again let me say thank you for coming.

I'm going to be here most of the hearing.

I have to leave for about 30 minutes to do

something else with a group, but then I'll be right

back, and I just think this is very important and

your presence here, all three of you from the

Commission, describes the importance the Commission

pays to this issue, as well.

So you're going to hear from a lot of wonderful North Dakotans. We care deeply about this issue, we care deeply about our state, and,

you know, we threw away that New York Times,

Harold. If they just bothered to come out here,

they'd understand why there is a North Dakota. It

has quality and characteristics and a culture that

many in the big cities aspire to achieve at some

6 point in their lives.

Anyway, thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

FCC CHAIRMAN KENNARD: Thank you,

Senator. Let me talk a little bit about what we
hope to accomplish today. The FCC is governed by
the Communications Act passed by Congress, and in
1996 Congress passed the most revolutionary rewrite
of that law in the law's history, the
Telecommunications Act of 1996, and many of us were
at the signing of that legislation.

It took place in the Library of Congress, and President Clinton and Vice President Gore were there and all the leaders of Congress who helped make that Act happen, and a lot of speeches and testimonials were given on that day, and everyone heralded a new age in telecommunications, an age in competition and deregulation, and looking back on that day, almost four years ago now, it occurs to me that we might have done the public somewhat of a disservice when we touted that statute as heralding

competition and deregulation as sort of its major cornerstone because we also know that there's another important pillar that that Act rests on, and that is the concept of universal service.

For the first time in our nation's history universal service is a part of federal statutory law, thanks to Senator Dorgan and other members of the Congress that insisted that it be so.

When I got this job in 1997 and started traveling around the country and talking to a lot of people in rural America and started touting the law as heralding competition and deregulation, particularly in rural America a lot of people were not standing up and cheering because you all know what airline deregulation brought to rural communities and trucking deregulation, and oftentimes it's people in the less populated parts of the country that are not the prime beneficiaries of some of these policies.

And so what we are trying to do with the help of Senator Dorgan and his colleagues is to get out into the countryside and really figure out how we're going to make this law work, how are we going to make sure that Americans get the benefits of competition without sacrificing deployment and

access to some of these advanced services?

So what I'd like to accomplish today at this hearing is to get a sense from all the witnesses of their personal experience. What is working in their communities, what is not working in their communities? How can we at the federal level and at the state level work to make this law work better for you?

So with that I'd like to have the first panel come forward. I guess our first panelist is forward. I'm told that Senator Krauter has an urgent appointment he has to meet. So I'm going to ask you to go first, Senator, and please tell us a little bit -- I'm going to ask all of the panelists to introduce themselves, tell us why you're here and what we can do. Thank you.

MR. KRAUTER: Thank you. Thank you very much. For the record, my name is Aaron Krauter, state senator from North Dakota here, and I'm very pleased to be here this afternoon and to share with you some of the insights that I see about this exciting technology out there, and let me first start out with telling you some very, very exciting things about the State of North Dakota that I think you may want to take back to maybe the State of New

1 York.

Did you know that North Dakota has the highest SAT scores among high school students in the country, including top rankings in average in scores for math and for verbal skills?

North Dakota eighth graders are in the tops in the nation and seventh in the world when it comes to math scores.

The state's eighth graders are in a tie with the State of Maine, and they rank tops in the nation and second in the world in science scores next to Singapore.

North Dakota has the highest percentage of students graduating from high school.

The state has the highest number of students in the country who attend college before the age of 19.

And the workforce in the State of North

Dakota has an absentee rate that is only two

percent in all industries, and when you look at the

turnover rate in the IT professions, it's only

three percent.

And nearly 90 percent of all public schools in the state have Internet access.

Those are some things that we are very

- 1 proud of. Now Commissioners and Senator, from a
- 2 | worldwild perspective aren't these some impressive-
- 3 | type statistics, and why wouldn't any type of
- 4 | international business be interested in setting up
- 5 | shop right here in North Dakota? They would, but
- 6 there's a bump in the road, and that bump in the
- 7 | road is what I call universal service at a
- 8 universal price.
- 9 What I want to present to you this
- 10 | afternoon is what has happened in the state
- 11 legislature from the standpoint of
- 12 | telecommunications in the recent years.
- We have commissioned a study to analyze
- 14 | the ways to address issues of connectivity at the
- 15 | state level, and we understand the economic
- 16 development demands and the needs and the ideas of
- 17 decreased costs to provide that.
- 18 | We are currently within an interim
- 19 | legislative committee that has developed six
- 20 | strategies for the State of North Dakota, and let
- 21 | me tell you those six strategies that we are
- 22 pursuing on.
- Number one is new technologies. In this
- 24 | area the joint purchasing power of agencies, higher
- 25 | education facilities, tribes, schools, cities,

counties and not-for-profit healthcare facilities
can be considered as anchor tenants to be used to
help drive the market. This will enable both

public and private sector entities to collaborate
on all these types of technologies. Very big

point, number one.

The second strategy is the economic development, and we all understand the capabilities throughout the state that this can enhance, but number one, is that this type of technology will help to retain, expand and grow business in North Dakota.

The third strategy is the financial savings. The experience of our state, as well as others, has shown that aggregation is effective in reducing the cost of connectivity. The goal is to provide much greater equity and allow higher cost areas to compete efficiently and truly see that distance is not an issue.

Our fourth strategy is the rural areas in North Dakota. The rural areas will see the tremendous benefit by joining forces with the more populated area.

Our fifth strategy is joint planning.

Networking options facing public entities will

continue to become more and more complex, but by following a statewide plan, public entities within the state can advance together and ensure that appropriate communication capabilities are deployed and utilized.

And our sixth strategy is to improve these government services. Government data and services will be made more accessible to the citizens as the networks expand and new applications are developed.

To wrap up my type of comments today, I want to address three areas, and those three areas are education, E-commerce and something you're looking for, I think, is personal experiences.

In the areas of education in the State of North Dakota we have a strong legislative philosophy that says that a student needs to be treated equally and equitably at any location in the state.

In recent legislative sessions we have appropriated 20 million dollars in the form of technology grants for K through 12 schools, and those dollars are grant moneys that are used for wiring classrooms, for computer hardware/software and for professional/technical development training of our educators in those schools.

But the limiting factor that we often find in those schools is the cost of high-speed Internet access, which we refer to as broadband. Often students download information, and that can take up to 20 minutes and that's using valuable classroom time. One school has particularly quoted me that their monthly phone charge increased 400 percent, and they were finally put on to a partial T-1 line.

Well, from a public standpoint policy, the question I ask is: Is this type of service available universally to the students and the people of North Dakota, or will there be competition in the marketplace to drive this to affordable and effective type of service?

In the areas of E-commerce from a legislative standpoint we see many things happening. It is often mentioned to me that the access to broadband may be the limiting factor to some growth potential in our ideas for growth and business.

A comment that has often been made to me in the E-commerce area is something that draws real interest. It is often mentioned that there are two cities in North Dakota that have an Air Force base in their locale, and somehow those two communities

seem to have very, very good access.

So the question we often ask is: Could it be because there's demand in those communities, or could it be because we have a national public policy of supporting our military and along with that policy comes the best infrastructure that it can support? If so, if that is the policy out there, why not have the best infrastructure to support our kids in our schools or the E-commerce that will support those types of schools?

And, finally, I think what you're looking for is some personal experiences. My occupation, my family, we operate a family farm. In that particular farming operation we use the Internet for very many different types of operations, but let me tell you that a typical day is starting out by reading E-mails, checking E-mails from family, friends and from farming-related businesses.

Once a week I receive an ag update, kind of like a quasi Wall Street Journal, on what's happening in the agricultural industry out there. At the end of that report it gives me website locations to get a full detailed report. On one particular morning I checked the USDA web site for the current production reports that were

presented. I also read about a recent foreign trade announcement report, and I also learned about some of the latest technology advancements of biotechnology. It took me 15 minutes just to download the last report.

The next thing I checked out was the local cash grain markets and some national Farm Service Agency reports, and finally I scanned the daily newspapers, including the Washington Post, to see what's happening on a national level. This was happening in my hometown of Regent, but the last E-mail I got was from my value-added cooperative that said, hey, we're ready to receive your shipment of grain, but before you do that, would you E-mail back a qualitative analysis of that.

Web communications have done my farming operation a lot of good. We use the commodities that we grow to add value to our operation. Some years I have increased my net farm income by over 25 -- excuse me, 20 percent by Internet communications, but time is the very limited factor.

I believe that there's a real exciting future as far as the State of North Dakota in the role that the state and federal government can play

- in providing high-speed Internet access to

 everyone. This is what we call universal service

 at a universal cost.
- With that I thank you very much for having the opportunity to present this, and I will be available for any questions.

- CHAIRMAN KENNARD: Thank you very much,

 Senator. Our next witness will be Gene Veeder, who

 is the executive director of the McKenzie County

 Job Development Organization.
- MR. VEEDER: Good afternoon. My name is Gene Veeder from McKenzie County Job Development Authority in Watford City, North Dakota.
 - More than four years ago our community,
 Watford City, which is the county seat of McKenzie
 County, identified the information technology
 industry as a potential growth area to help
 stabilize our declining rural population and shore
 up an economy that had been dependent on
 agriculture and energy exploration.
- As most of you know, both of those industry have a long history of boom and bust cycles. Energy-related employment had plummeted 60 percent in one decade, and the number of producing farms in the county had dropped by 35 percent in

less than ten years. With lost jobs, low prices
and an out-migration of working families, that
created ripple effects on Main Street where
businesses were struggling to keep their doors
open.

Our local city and county government was created in trying to figure out how to replace lost tax revenues and to pay for essential services, and in many ways it was hard for us to imagine that the rest of the country was experiencing unprecedented prosperity when we looked up and down our Main Street.

However, smaller rural communities like
Watford City have a strong commitment to preserving
our lifestyle and ability to work together when the
stakes are high. During this period of time
representatives from schools, business, the medical
community, civic development groups took an
initiative to transform our community by becoming
one of the first cities in the state to be involved
in a program called Centers for Excellence in Rural
America. This included a self-assessment template
for community planning.

We used that opportunity and a challenge from -- as the Center of Excellence in Rural

1 America pilot site to take a look at how technology

- 2 | when infused in commerce, healthcare, education,
- 3 | government services could enhance the lifestyles of
- 4 people in our community and help economic
- 5 developers recruit and retain new business and
- 6 | sustain economic growth in our community.

7 We discovered during this process that we

- 8 had a workforce eager to transition to new and
- 9 better paying jobs. We did a survey that resulted
- 10 to, however, 450 responses in our community finding
- 11 out that over 250 of those people had higher
- 12 education degrees and were underemployed in our
- 13 community. We found that among the liabilities to
- 14 growth were our own limitations and inadequate
- 15 | telecommunications infrastructure and affordable
- 16 | connectivity.

17 | As I came to my position in Watford City,

- 18 one of the first questions I had was an inquiry
- 19 from a company interested in moving to our
- 20 | community inquiring about T-1 access, which was not
- 21 available four years ago in our community. It took
- 22 | me two weeks to get a response from the telephone
- 23 | company about the costs and capabilities, and by
- 24 | the time I received that response, that relocation
- 25 | was not a possibility.

We have connected our community in our own
way. We've developed a wireless access where we've
been able to share the T-1 services in our
community. We have joined forces with our
hospital, with our rural electric cooperative, with
our school and our city and our county and have
found a way to actually share the T-1 services
we've had in our community.

Unfortunately, we've had to do that with quite a bit of local creativity and money, and it's been hard for us to sell that to the public in terms of an economic development model that we have T-1 services. We had to do that on our own.

2.4

Our experiences in becoming a connected community enriched by technology have been repeated by other rural communities where distance from markets and services are still a factor.

One of the things that economic development directors like myself -- one of the real factors we face is that large telecommunications companies are investing in lines that run through North Dakota, generally along the interstate, on their way to more lucrative coastal areas.

Keep in mind that McKenzie County is

surrounded by the Yellowstone River, the Missouri River, and the Little Missouri River to the south of us. Just the simple matter that half of our community being the Badlands made it really difficult to put this on one of the last areas to be connected because it's just too tough to plow cable and fiber through those areas. So if these companies do not provide off-ramps, the small businesses in our communities that are far from the interstate are left out. These lines may generated use tax revenues for the state, but the companies do nothing for economic development without promoting our local assess.

In recent history it was the immediate access to good roads or railroads that gave one community or business competitive edge. Today telecommunications resources and availability of advanced communications capabilities are a competitive requirement, not a luxury. Even if our rural areas can afford the high-quality, ready workforce and enviable quality of life, companies cannot ignore telecommunications requirements.

Communication and information technologies can reduce the barriers of distance that have traditionally disadvantaged this rural community.

- 1 Universal access is the key to our
- 2 | telecommunications industry and has been since its
- 3 | inception. It is the only way to achieve the
- 4 future promise of telecommunications.
- 5 For our community information applications
- 6 such as electronic mail, remote access to computer-
- 7 | based data, distance learning, local area network
- 8 interconnections, electronic commerce, and
- 9 electronic government are vital to selling my
- 10 | community and for retaining those of us who are
- 11 here.
- 12 Advanced telecommunications can make rural
- 13 areas more attractive for some businesses and
- 14 result in revitalization of our rural economy, and
- 15 that is a card we'd like to have in our hand, not
- 16 one that we hope is in our deck.
- 17 Our community is doing what we can to
- 18 | secure our economic future. Many of us have
- 19 already positioned ourselves to take advantage of
- 20 leading edge technology as it develops. But
- 21 | without an assurance of full universal service
- 22 | through comprehensive broadband rollout, rural
- 23 | communities will again face the historic barrier of
- 24 distance and experience, another boom or bust
- 25 | cycle, that we have in the west -- we all know so

1 | well in the west.

In light of the fact that access to high-speed telecommunications will be a requirement for our survival rather than an option, we are asking you to provide a funding policy and plan for universal service so even those of us that are most remote and the most remote citizens of our state have not only access to the global Internet, but affordable access for high-speed connectivity.

Further, we encourage investment for the long-term and discourage competition based on big versus small business and dense versus sparsely-populated areas. Thank you.

I'm going to remind our panelists to please keep your opening remarks short because as we look out in this audience, I know that there are a lot of people out there who have a lot to say, and I want to make sure that we have an opportunity for some interchange with the audience. So if you could please keep your remarks to no more than five minutes, three minutes preferably, and then we'll try to get a little bit of an exchange going.

The next witness will be Jeff Johnson, who is the director of IT systems for Great Plains

Software, a large IT company.

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, Chairman. At Great Plains due to the ubiquity of high-speed digital services as the leading indicator of economic activity in the state going forward, today's broadband service is available only to a really small fraction of the folks in North Dakota, and the pace in some places is going fast, but the vast majority is going very slow or is none existent.

At a place like Great Plains some of the ways that this is important is maybe because we provide business to E-business companies, but also a lot of the challenges we have is to attract workers to our business, and we're drawing from the national pool, from the Intels, the Microsofts, the Cicsos, and these people in addition to pay and some of the cost of living and such things, they're looking also at the quality of the services around them, most notably Internet services as an important issue.

Secondly, the current economic model, which underpins many vendors' rollout plans, is based on preproven economic viability. A profitable business model as seen in advance, the

infrastructure just doesn't go in, and rural states
like North Dakota feels this needs to be augmented
by a strong universal service initiative, and this
isn't necessarily seen as a one-size-fits-all
solution.

There is a valid chicken-and-egg debate that goes on about does the demand have to be there before the service should be put in? What we're seeing is that E-business is moving very fast, and if the supply isn't there, the demand doesn't even show up.

Quality of service is an increasingly critical issue for businesses in North Dakota, including Great Plains. We're finding that although in one of the population centers like Fargo we can gain access to some of these circuits, they have just arrived and there is no backup to them.

Over the past 12 months we've experienced multiple business outages. Over 30 percent of our revenue comes from services delivered by Internet and telephone shifting more towards Internet. So we're looking for ways to be fostering further activity to bring more vendors to town through significant cross-connect arrangements to address

1 | that. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KENNARD: Thank you very much,
Mr. Johnson. Next we'll hear from Lee Kaldor, who
is with a small IT company, ComMark, Inc.

MR. KALDOR: Thank you, Chairman Kennard and Commissioners, for coming to North Dakota and holding this historic hearing.

I think it's very meaningful that you're here to listen to us and to listen to our words about information technology and the broadband services that we feel that we need. It's my hope that our words will translate into action to bring about and improve the connectivity to rural and remote areas of this country. We feel they're just as important as any other place in our nation.

My company is an example of the kind of technology-based enterprise that can spring up in any location as long as there's adequate broadband services available. ComMark is a very small web design and consulting business located in Mayville, North Dakota. We're about halfway between Fargo and Grand Forks.

We have employed a small cadre of programmers and some part-time programmers and support staff. We host approximately 100 web

sites, including everything from simple brochure wear to very comprehensive and complex E-commerce solutions. We're expanding our business into more data basis applications, developing -- development and providing outsource programming for other web developers in other parts of the country.

Our company is headquartered in incubation space on the campus of Mayville State University, which is the smallest university in North Dakota. We lease our office space from them. We lease our telephone and Internet access, as well. But all of our sites and hosting services are provided for in Minneapolis on a main line. Part of the reason for this has to do with affordability. Other constraints involve the preclusion of our university system to provide those services for a commercial purpose.

This access that we have right now where we're placing our server costs us about half of what it would cost in Mayville with current service providers, and when we began our business and up until just recently, T-1 service wouldn't have been even available to us for that purpose.

The competition for Internet subscription up to this point in our community has really been

nonexistent. About three years ago an ad hoc technology committee formed in Mayville to seek Internet services from U S West. U S West could/ not provide these services from a financial feasibility standpoint. Finally, Polar Communications, a company in Park River, a telephone cooperative, made it possible for community members to have local dial-up to the Internet. Subscriptions were well beyond their expectations. With increased usage the atmosphere in our community is changing.

Last month the U.S. Department of Commerce announced the approval of a TIIAP grant for Mayville to fund the development of a community technology center. This is a result of this collaborative effort of our local economic development groups, the university, power company and Polar Communications. We are the first tenant in that new technology center, and a second tenant is joining us very quickly in the next couple of months. The company will be contracting for the establishment of a call center for software support.

As a consequence of this grant and as a consequence of the interest in the community to put